Supplementary Information Guide for

Ingested plastic transfers hazardous chemicals to fish and induces hepatic stress Chelsea M. Rochman^{1,2*}, Eunha Hoh³, Tomofumi Kurobe¹, Swee J. Teh¹ correspondence to: cmrochman@ucdavis.edu

One single PDF contains:

Supplementary Methods:

Chemical analyses

Supplementary Tables S1-4:

Tables: S1) Concentrations of congeners in all sample matrices, S2 and S3) ANOVA tables for total PAHs, PCBs and PBDEs in fish tissue after both sampling periods and S4) qPCR primers

Supplementary Information for

Ingested plastic transfers contaminants to fish and induces hepatic stress Chelsea M. Rochman^{1,2*}, Eunha Hoh³, Tomofumi Kurobe¹, Swee Teh¹ correspondence to: cmrochman@ucdavis.edu

Supplementary Methods:

Chemical Standards and Solvent Materials

Mixtures of 16 PAHs (EPA M-610), PCBs (C-WNN) and PBDEs (BDE-CR) were purchased from AccuStandard (New Haven, CT, USA). Internal standards (Napthalene-d8, acenapthene-d10, phenathrene-d10, chrsyene-d12 and perylene-d12) and recovery standards (1-1'-biphenyl 2-fluroene-d10 and p-tertphenyl-d14) for PAH analysis were purchased from AccuStandard as mixtures (Z-014J-0.5X and CLP-LC-SS1). Internal standards PCB65, 155, and 204 for PCB analysis were individually purchased from AccuStandard. The recovery standard ¹³C₁₂-CB169 for PCB analysis was purchased from Wellington Laboratories (Guelph, Canada). The internal standard 4'-fluoro-2,3',4,6-tetrabromodiphenyl ether and recovery standard 4'-fluoro-2,3',4,5-hexabromodiphenyl ether for PBDE analysis were both purchased from AccuStandard. All solvents and reagents, equal or above pesticide grade, were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA).

Sample Preparation

Water samples for each treatment were extracted for contents of PAHs, PCBs and PBDEs. Approximately 500 mL of water from the three marine plastic tanks were sampled and filtered through Whatman[™] glass fiber filter paper (0.45 µm; GE Healthcare, Maidstone, Kent, UK). Filtered water samples were extracted by SPE using OASIS[®] glass cartridges (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) conditioned with DCM followed by acetone followed by milli-Q water. Following extraction, cartridges were spiked with known amounts of each internal

standard and eluted with acetone followed by DCM. Extracts were concentrated under nitrogen flow and resuspended in hexane. Final extracts were spiked with known amounts of recovery standards and analyzed by GC/MS.

Samples of ground LDPE samples were extracted for contents of 12 PAHs, 27 PCBs and 13 PBDEs (Figure 1). Approximately one gram of ground marine- and virgin-LDPE were extracted twice by sonication in 30 mL dichloromethane (DCM) and concentrated under nitrogen flow. For clean-up, we used solid phase extraction (SPE) with silica based cartridges (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) eluted with 100% hexane and 4:1 DCM/hexane. Final extracts were spiked with known amounts of recovery standard prior to GC/MS analysis.

Diet samples for each treatment were extracted for contents of 6 PAHs, 10 PCBs and 7 PBDEs (Figure 1). Approximately seven grams of sample were extracted twice by sonication in 30 mL 50:50 acetone/hexane and concentrated under nitrogen flow. Clean-up steps consisted of gel permeation chromatography (GPC; J2 Scientific, Columbia, MO, USA) to remove the lipids followed by SPE with silica-based cartridges. For GPC, samples were resuspended in 5 mL 1:1 ethyl acetate/cyclohexane. The method was optimized to ensure recovery of target analytes. The GPC column has a 2 cm i.d. and a length of 22.5 cm and is packed with 24 g of BioBeasd S-X3 in 1:1 ethyl acetate/cyclohexane. The flow rate was 5 mL/minute and the mobile phase was 1:1 ethyl acetate/cyclohexane. The eluent fraction between 10 and 22 minutes was collected and concentrated to 2 mL under a flow of nitrogen gas for SPE. For clean-up by SPE we followed the same methods as above for samples of LDPE. Final extracts were spiked with known amounts of recovery standard prior to GC/MS analysis.

After one month of exposure 12 fish were sampled for contents of 6 PAHs, 10 PCBs and 7 PBDEs (Figure 1). After two months, all fish remaining at the end of the exposure, excluding

those sampled for histopathology and gene expression endpoints, were sampled for body burden analysis. Prior to body burden analysis, fish were dissected and the stomach, liver and gall bladder were surgically removed. Remaining fish tissue samples were grouped into approximately 5 g composite samples and homogenized by mortar and pestle. One composite sample per replicate tank was analyzed after one month of exposure, and three composite samples per replicate tank were analyzed after two months of exposure. The extraction and clean-up procedures were the same as the diet samples. Extractable lipid content was determined gravimetrically using 10% of the sample extract prior to clean-up by GPC. Concentrations of PAHs, PCBs and PBDEs are reported as ng/g lipid.

Chemical Analyses

Sample extracts for PAHs and PCBs in all sample matrices and PBDEs in water and fish tissue were analyzed using an Agilent 6890 series gas chromatograph and Agilent 5973 mass spectrometer (Santa Clara, CA, USA) with ultrapure grade helium (99.995%: Airgas West El Cajon, CA, USA) as the carrier gas and a Restek Rxi-5 Sil MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 μm thickness) integrated with a 5 m guard column. Selected ion monitoring (SIM) was used to detect 16 PAHs, 27 indicator PCBs, 12 PBDEs and internal and recovery standards. Instrumental parameters used in this study for PAHs and PCBs for all matrices and PBDEs in fish tissue were developed previously in our lab⁵⁹. Ground LDPE and PC diet sample extracts were analyzed for PBDEs at the Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCWRP; Costa Mesa, CA) using an Agilent 7890 gas chromatograph coupled to a 5975C quadrupole mass selective detector (Wilmington, DE, USA) with ultrahigh purity (>99.999%) helium as the carrier gas with a constant flow rate of 1.9 ml/min and an Agilent Agilent J&W DB-XLB column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm column). One microliter sample was injected at 300°C in

splitless mode. The oven temperature was programmed from 90°C held for 1 min to 150°C at 5°C/min, to 260 °C at 3°C/min, and to 320°C at 20°C/min held for 5 min. MS conditions are as follows: negative chemical ionization (NCI) with an ion source and quadrupole temperature of 150°C. The MSD was operated under the selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode to detect 12 PBDEs and internal and recovery standards.

Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Glassware was cleaned and muffled at 450° C for 6 h. Surgical tools were solvent rinsed in acetone and hexane and glass fiber filter papers were muffled at 450° C for 6 h. During extraction, samples were covered with aluminum foil to prevent contamination. Laboratory procedural blanks (virgin plastics for plastic samples and sodium sulfate for diet and tissue samples) and a spiked matrix blank (virgin pellets for plastic samples, sodium sulfate for diet samples and anchovies for tissue samples) were extracted and run with every sequence of 6 samples. Three quality control criteria were used to guarantee correct identification of target compounds: GC retention times matched those of standard compounds within \pm 0.2 minutes, signal-to-noise ratio was greater than five, and the ratio between the quantitation and confirmation ions of each target compound was within \pm 25% of the theoretical value.

The recovery of the internal standards had means ranging from 59-95% (n = 2) for ground LDPE, 51-75% (n = 3) for treatment diets, and 58-136% (n = 36) for fish tissue. The reported concentrations of total PAHs, PCBs and PBDEs are recovery corrected based upon the recovery efficiencies of internal standards. In spiked matrix blank samples, recoveries for the 16 PAHs were > 58% for ground LDPE, > 90% for PC diet, and > 58% for the 6 PAHs measured in fish tissue. Recoveries for the spiked matrix blank samples for the 27 PCBs were > 78% for ground LDPE, > 70% for PC diet, > 64% for the 10 PCBs measured in fish tissue. Finally,

recoveries for the matrix spiked blank samples for the 12 PBDEs were > 85% for ground LDPE, > 49% for PC diet, > 96% for the 7 PBDEs measured in fish tissue. Blank levels of the 16 PCBs, 27 PCBs and 12 PBDEs measured in laboratory procedural blanks were subtracted from the reported concentrations of total PAHs, PCBs and PBDEs extracted from samples.

References

59. Van, A., Rochman, C. M., Flores, E. M., Hill, K. L., Vargas, E., Vargas, S. A., Hoh, E. Persistent organic pollutants in plastic marine debris found on beaches in San Diego, California. *Chemosphere*. **3**, 258-263 (2012).

Supplementary Tables:

Supplementary Table S1. Concentrations of all PAH, PCB and PBDE congeners measured in LDPE (ng/g pellet), Treatment Diets (ng/g), and medaka after the 1- and 2-month dietary exposure in (ng/g lipid \pm s.e.m.; n=3). Tissue samples are provided as average concentrations in ng/g lipid \pm SE for PAHs (top), PCBs (middle) and PBDEs (bottom). Individual congeners are listed along the top row and treatments are listed down the first column. 2-factor ANOVAs showed significant differences between treatments for chrysene (P = 0.006), PCB 28 (P = 0.022) and PBDE congeners 47 (P = 0.001), 49 (P = 0.003), 99 (P = 0.004), 100 (P = 0.002), 153 (P = 0.006) and 154 (P = 0.04). Post-hoc SNK tests distinguished the marine-plastic treatment having greater concentrations of each of the above congeners from the virgin-plastic and control Treatments.

PA	lΗ	s
----	----	---

Matrix	phenanthrene	anthracene	fluoranthene	pyrene	benzo(a)anthracene	chrysene
virgin LDPE (ng/g pellet)	25	4.8	2.4	nd	nd	nd
marine LDPE (ng/g pellet)	10	1.4	68	37	10	2.5
control diet (ng/g)	11	12	0.6	0.5	nd	nd
virgin-plastic diet (ng/g)	25	31	2.0	nd	nd	nd
marine-plastic diet (ng/g)	23	29	2.0	1.4	nd	nd
control fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	1.6 ± 0.3	nd	0.2 ± 0.0	nd	1.7 ± 0.9	2.6 ± 1.4
virgin-plastic fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	1.5 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.2	nd	2.9 ± 1.5	2.7 ± 1.6
marine-plastic fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	1.7 ± 0.2	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	nd	0.2 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 1.4
control fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	16 ± 1.9	0.7±0.4	2.7 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.3	49 ± 14	6.3 ± 1.4
virgin-plastic fish (2 mo, ng/g lipid)	26 ± 12	0.0 ± 0.0	6.9 ± 2.9	0.0 ± 0.0	87 ± 18	4.1 ± 1.8
marine-plastic fish (2 mo, ng/g lipid)	15 ± 2.6	20 ± 14	4.1 ± 1.4	0.0 ± 0.0	126 ± 34	14 ± 1.9*

PCBs

Matrix	PCB18	PCB28	PCB52	PCB44	PCB101	PCB123	PCB118	PCB153	PCB138	PCB187
virgin LDPE (ng/g pellet)	nd	nd	0.3	nd	0.5	0.3	nd	nd	nd	nd
marine LDPE (ng/g pellet)	0.5	0.3	2.1	1.5	2.9	1.2	2.7	2.5	2.5	0.6
control diet (ng/g)	0.1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.1	nd	nd
virgin-plastic diet (ng/g)	0.1	nd	0.1	nd	0.1	nd	0.2	nd	0.2	0.1
marine-plastic diet (ng/g)	0.1	nd	0.1	nd	0.1	4.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
control fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	0.1 ± 0.0	1.4 ± 0.1	9.0 ± 1.0	3.1 ± 0.4	7.8 ± 0.9	0.8 ± 0.4	11 ± 1.0	8.4 ± 1.0	8.1 ± 0.9	0.6 ± 0.1
virgin-plastic fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	0.1 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 0.1	9.6 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.2	7.6 ± 0.6	nd	9.8 ± 0.1	6.6 ± 0.4	6.1 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.0
marine-plastic fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	0.1 ± 0.0	1.6 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.9	3.1 ± 0.2	7.3 ± 0.7	0.4 ± 0.4	12 ± 2.3	6.9 ± 1.4	6.5 ± 1.7	0.5 ± 0.1
control fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	0.5 ± 0.2	9.7 ± 0.4	74 ± 4.9	25 ± 1.8	78 ± 6.2	8.5 ± 1.8	107 ± 7.8	81 ± 7.0	78 ± 7.5	5.9 ± 0.5
virgin-plastic fish (2 mo, ng/g lipid)	0.6 ± 0.2	6.2 ± 1.6	71 ± 4.6	23 ± 1.6	83 ± 5.9	5.4 ± 1.7	96 ± 5.8	71 ± 5.3	65 ± 5.2	6.2 ± 0.6
marine-plastic fish (2 mo, ng/g lipid)	0.7 ± 0.2	11 ± 1.3*	89 ± 9.9	30 ± 3.1	101 ± 11	10 ± 2.3	126 ± 15	95 ± 10	88 ± 9.4	7.4 ± 0.8

PBDEs

Matrix	BDE49	BDE47	BDE100	BDE99	BDE155	BDE154	BDE153
virgin LDPE (ng/g pellet)	0.017	0.73	0.16	0.28	0.03	0.07	nd
marine LDPE (ng/g pellet)	0.10	0.54	0.25	0.40	0.02	0.07	0.46
control diet (ng/g)	0.01	0.14	0.05	0.10	0.02	0.02	0.72
virgin-plastic diet (ng/g)	0.03	0.24	0.09	0.16	0.09	0.03	1.76
marine-plastic diet (ng/g)	0.03	0.21	0.10	0.15	0.18	0.05	2.40
control fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	nd	56 ± 5.8	5.5 ± 1.5	2.9 ± 1.8	2.2 ± 1.1	4.3 ± 1.1	2.6 ± 1.4
virgin-plastic fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	nd	63 ± 3.3	3.4 ± 0.7	6.3 ± 3.1	2.9 ± 1.4	3.4 ± 0.5	3.5 ± 1.8
marine-plastic fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	nd	65 ± 14	4.8 ± 2.6	7.5 ± 1.6	3.9 ± 0.4	4.0 ± 1.6	2.0 ± 2.0
control fish (1 mo, ng/g lipid)	69 ± 7.1	58 ± 3.8	7.0 ± 0.4	4.4 ± 1.0	3.3 ± 0.2	5.0 ± 0.4	3.7 ± 0.4
virgin-plastic fish (2 mo, ng/g lipid)	82 ± 5.0	67 ± 5.3	8.6 ± 0.6	7.6 ± 1.5	1.9 ± 0.8	4.4 ± 1.1	2.5 ± 1.0
marine-plastic fish (2 mo, ng/g lipid)	122 ± 15*	109 ± 13*	11 ± 0.9*	11 ± 1.5*	2.7 ± 0.9	7.5 ± 0.9*	6.3 ± 0.7*

Supplementary Table S2. 2-factor ANOVA tables for Total PAHs, PCBs and PBDEs in fish at the 2-month sampling period. For each Cochran's test, ns denotes non-significance. SNK results are given in order from greatest to least concentration for each contaminant group among treatments. Where Tank(Treatment) was not significant at ≥ 0.25 , $MS_{Tank(Treatment)}$ is pooled with $MS_{Treatment}$.

2 month PAHs
2-way ANOVA

2-way ANOVA															
		anthrac	cene	benzo(a)anthracene	chrysen	e	fluoran	thene	phenan	threne	pyrene		Total PA	Hs
Source	df	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)
Treatment	2	1104.9	0.99(0.42)	13124	1.58(0.28)	167.45	0.06(0.01)	41.002	1.34(0.28)	303.18	0.60.83)	0.2542	1.00 (0.38)	23558	1.87(0.23)
Tank(Tr)	6	1113.2	2.41(0.07)	8313.5	2.17(0.10)	27.356	pooled	16.299	pooled	544.90	pooled	0.2542	pooled	12608	3.18(0.03)
Residual	18	462.45		3836.9		25.281		36.377		443.19		0.2542		3961.3	
Cochran's te	est	C=0.998	84	C=0.400	ns	C=0.295	8 ns	C=0.457	0 ns	C=0.956	50**	C=1.00	00**	C=0.43	0 ns
SNK						OP>C=V	/P							MP(1>2	=3)

2 month PCBs 2-way ANOVA

		PCB 18		PCB 28		PCB 4	4	PCB 52	2	PCB 10	1	PCB 11	8	PCB 12	3	PCB 13	8	PCB 15	3
Source	df	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)
Treatment	2	0.0718	0.18(0.83)	58.6844	4.53(0.02)	94.050	1.52(0.29)	856.75	2.00(0.16)	1259.6	2.06(0.15)	2004.8	2.12(0.14)	52.589	1.49(0.25)	1150.7	1.56(0.28)	1212.0	2.26(0.13)
Tank(Tr)	6	0.4276	pooled	6.0311	pooled	61.696	1.55(0.22)	502.23	pooled	480.26	pooled	758.79	pooled	4.4522	pooled	737.38	1.69(0.18)	529.97	pooled
Residual	18	0.3781	-	15.274	-	39.771		403.33	_	655.96	-	1008.6	-	45.682	-	435.04		536.89	-
Cochran's	test	C=0.24	15 ns	C=0.259	8 ns	C=0.44	47 ns	C=0.48	68 ns	C=0.413	32 ns	C=0.54	17 ns	C=0.25	518 ns	C=0.382	26 ns	C=0.35	16 ns
SNK				MP=C>	VP														

	PCB 1	87	Total I	PCBs
Source di	f MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)
Treatment 2	5.8829	1.61(0.22)	38821	2.34(0.12)
Tank(Tr) 6	2.8224	pooled	16043	pooled
Residual 18	3.9198		16730	
Cochran's te	st C=0.32	73 ns	C=0.4	250 ns
CNIZ				

2 month PBDEs 2-way ANOVA

		DDET	DDE	7/	DDE 7	,	DDE 10	U	DDE 13	,	DDE	7	DDE 13.	,	I Utai Di	<u> </u>
Source	df	MS F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)
Treatment	2	6668.7 10.22	(0.001) 683	7.3 7.60(0.003)	112.09	7.02(0.004)	35.787	8.34(0.002)	32.634	6.30(0.006)	24.623	3.66(0.04)	4.0243	0.89(0.43)	35948	11.49(0.0003)
Tank(Tr)	6	242.76 poole	ed 236	72 pooled	7.9341	pooled	1.2093	pooled	2.6093	pooled	3.7676	pooled	2.9983	pooled	544.60	pooled
Residual	18	788.88	1120).7	18.656		5.3195		6.0379		7.7098		5.0572		3990.5	
Cochran's	test	C=0.6483	C=0	.3681 ns	C=0.20	61 ns	C=0.38	32 ns	C=0.364	6 ns	C=0.33	26 ns	C=0.303	32 ns	C=0.610	6 ns
SNK		MP>VP=C	MP:	-VP=C	MP=VI	P=C	MP>VF	P=C	MP>VP=	=C	MP>VF	P=C			MP>VP=	=C

Supplementary Table S3. 1-factor ANOVA tables for Total PAHs, PCBs and PBDEs in fish at the 1-month sampling period. For each Cochran's test, ns denotes non-significance. SNK results are given in order from greatest to least concentration for each contaminant group among polymers and locations.

1 month PAHs
1-way ANOVA

•		anthracene		benzo(a)anthracene	chrysene		fluorant	hene	phenant	hrene	pyren	e	Total PA	AHs
Source	df	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)
Treatment	2	0.0117	1.45(0.31)	5.6009	1.74(0.25)	0.3683	0.06(0.95)	0.0114	0.27(0.77)	0.0443	0.019(0.83)	N/A	N/A	7.8389	0.71(0.53)
Residual	6	0.0081		3.2166	3.2166			0.0415		0.2275		N/A		10.9998	
Cochran's	test	C=0.516	65 ns	C=0.727	9 ns	C=0.4004 n	S	C=0.542	22 ns	C=0.451	4 ns	N/A		C=0.52	86 ns

1 month PCBs

1-way ANOVA

			PCB 18		PCB 28		PCB 4	4	PCB 52	2	PCB 10	1	PCB 11	18	PCB 12	.3	PCB 13	8	PCB 15	3
So	urce	df	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)
Tr	eatment	2	0.0001	0.56(0.60)	0.0314	2.88(0.13)	0.0346	0.14(0.87)	0.5584	0.28(0.77)	0.2075	0.13(0.88)	3.5235	0.55(0.14)	0.4378	1.50(0.30)	3.0998	0.82(0.49)	2.8145	0.90(0.46)
Re	sidual	6	0.0003		0.0109		0.2405		2.0006		1.6057		6.4364		0.2913		3.7910		3.1423	
Co	chran's	test	C=0.56	50 ns	C=0.423	31 ns	C=0.51	79 ns	C=0.50	40 ns	C=0.462	25 ns	C=0.84	09 ns	C=0.52	96 ns	C=0.74	67 ns	C=0.65	57 ns

		PCB 18	i7	Total P	CBs
Source	df	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)
Treatment	2	0.0285	1.00(0.42)	19.611	0.28(0.76)
Residual	6	0.0286		68.914	
Cochran's	test	C=0.50	12 ns	C=0.59	82 ns

1 month PBDEs 1-way ANOVA

		BDE 47	BDE	49	BDE 99)	BDE 10	0	BDE 15	53	BDE 15	54	BDE 15	55	Total B	DEs
Source df	f	MS F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(0.84)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)	MS	F(P)
Treatment 2		59.896 0.25(0.79)	N/A	N/A	17.004	1.13(0.38)	3.5866	0.39(0.70)	1.7314	0.18	0.7266	0.18(0.84)	2.2041	0.63(0.56)	128.96	0.18(0.84)
Residual 6	6	242.62	N/A		15.072		9.3149		9.3831		4.0311		3.4844		698.31	
Cochran's tes	st	C=0.8186 ns	N/A		C=0.62	08 ns	C=0.70	19 ns	C=0.43	34 ns	C=0.629	98 ns	C=0.60	03 ns	C = 0.670)5 ns

Supplementary Table S4. Primers used for quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)^{56,57}.

Primer Name	Accession Number	Forward Primer 5'-3'	Reverse Primer 5'-3'
CYP1A	AY297923	CGCAGAAAGTTGGCCTACAGT	TCTGCATTGCTGCCCTCTAG
GADPH	AV671008	TGTGGAAAAGGCCTCACTTCA	CAGACACGACCACACGCTGT
β Actin	S74868	TCCACCTTCCAGCAGATGTG	AGCATTTGCGGTGGACGAT
18SrRNA	AB105163	CGTTCAGCCACACGAGATTG	CCGGACATCTAAGGGCATCA